Interpreting prepositions physically
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Abstract

We develop representations for locative and path specifying prepositions emphasizing the implementability of the underlying semantic primitives. Our primitives pertain to mechanical characteristics such as geometric relationships among objects, kinematic or motional characteristics implied by prepositions. The representation along with representation for action verbs along similar lines, have been used to successfully animate the performance of tasks underlying natural language imperatives by "human" agents.

1 Introduction

Consider the imperatives

- Carefully place the block inside the box.
- Gently put the block on the table.
- Place the ruler across the table.
- Slowly roll the ball across the rug.

Here, we have three action verbs in the sentences above: put, place and roll. There are three prepositions inside, on and across. Across is used in two different senses—static as well as and dynamic. Inside and on are used in static senses in the first two sentences. Inside in the first sentence expresses a relation in term of the box's location and geometry; across gives a relation in terms of the reference object's location and geometry (co-linearity with the longitudinal axis).

2 Representational Features

We have discussed the representation of the meanings of action verbs in [Kalita 90a, Kalita90b, Badler 90] in terms of physical features—geometric relationships, aspectual considerations such as repetitiveness of sub-actions and definedness of termination conditions, kinematic or motional attributes, dynamic or force-related features.

Geometric constraints provide information regarding how one or more objects or sub-parts of objects relate to one another in terms of physical contact, absolute or relative location, inter-object distance, absolute or relative orientation or path of motion.

Constraints are of two types. Positional constraints refer to a situation in which a 0-3- dimensional object is constrained to a 0-3-dimensional region of space. For example, in order to execute the command Put the ball on the table, an arbitrary point on the surface of the ball has to be brought in contact with (or constrained to) an arbitrary point on the surface of the table. In the action underlying the imperative Put the block in the box where one needs to constrain the block (or the volume occupied by the block) to the interior volume of the box. Orientational constraints are useful in situations such as representing the meaning of the preposition across in the sentence Place the ruler across the table. The interpretation of the preposition involves several components, one of which requires that the longitudinal axis of the ruler and the longitudinal axis of the table top be perpendicular to each other.

Verbs dealing with constraints can be classified considering whether they denote establishment, removal or maintenance of (existing) geometric constraints. There are verbs whose central actions require that constraints established continue to hold: attach, hold, fix, grasp. The central actions of other verbs require that already existing constraints cease to hold. Examples include: detach, disconnect, disengage, release.

3 Prepositional Phrases

Locative modifiers specify where in the workspace the action is performed. A location can be specified with respect to one object or its features (e.g., in, inside, behind, and against). A location can also be specified with respect to two or more objects, their sub-parts or features (e.g., between and among).

Specification of path is a part of kinematic specification of a motion or an action. A complete definition of path requires specification of source, destination and path geometry. Prepositions in this category include from, to, along, around, round and across.

4 Locative Prepositions

Although, several of detailed studies of English prepositions are available (e.g., [Funk 53]), very few provide meanings in a precise, implementable format (except
[Badler 75] and [Gangel 84]). We use an extended version of Talm’s [1983] schema approach made suitable for computational purposes. We have also been influenced by [Herskovits 86].

We organize the meaning of a preposition such as on in the following way:

\[ \text{on} \text{ on}_1 \text{ on}_2 \cdots \text{ on}_n \]

At the top level, we have the preposition itself. The second level contains a list of senses of the preposition for which we have lexical entries.

Generally speaking, one might be able to define a general meaning which resides in the root and then provide inference procedures or heuristics for deriving representation for the senses. However, these inference procedures or heuristics are still neither well understood nor exhaustively enumerated although Herskovits discusses them in a general manner. For the purposes of obtaining lexical entries in this paper, we consider one sense of each preposition to keep our discussions simple.

The structure used for representing meanings of locative prepositions is in terms of a representation template: geometric-relation. A geometric relation is specified in two ways. The first, which represents a simple geometric relation is a frame with the slots:

geometric-relation:
  spatial-type:
  source-constraint-space:
  destination-constraint-space:
  distance:
  weight:
  selectional-restrictions:

The second is for more complex cases which are composed of two or more geometric relations to be satisfied simultaneously:

\{ g-union g-relation-1 g-relation-2 \cdots g-relation-n \}

where \( g-relation-i \) is simple or complex.

4.1 A Representation for on

The sense of on we are interested in is seen in the sentence *Put the block on the table*. The relevant use type of on from Herskovits is spatial entity supported by physical object. A handbook describes it as in *contact with upper surface of*, *above* and *supported by* [Funk 53].

The relations implied in this meaning of on are: contact, support and above. The relationship of support is difficult to define. Fahlman devised complex heuristic and mathematical tests to determine if an assembly of blocks can be supported by another [1974]. We do not define support in such complex manners. Our representation of support is indirect and symbolic. We describe this meaning or sense of on as

\[ (X,Y) \rightarrow \]

geometric-relation:
  positional
  source-constraint-space: any-of
    (self-supporting-spaces-of \( X \))
  destination-constraint-space: any-of
    (supporter-surfaces-of \( Y \))
  selectional-restrictions:
    horizontal-p (destination-constraint-space)
    equal ((direction-of (normal-to)
      destination-constraint-space), “global-up”)
    free-p (destination-constraint-space)

It is impossible to capture all selectional restrictions or applicability conditions associated with any word in a natural language [Winograd 80]. No matter what conditions are imposed, one can always fabricate an odd context in which the condition does not hold.

Given a geometric object, the geometric function self-supporting-spaces-of obtains a list containing surfaces, lines or points on the object on which it can support itself. For example, a cube can be supported on any of its six faces, and a sphere on any point on its surface. The function supporting-surfaces-of takes an object as an argument and finds outer surfaces on it on which other objects can be supported. To do so, it may find out if there are parts whose function is primarily to support other objects (e.g., the top surface of a table), failing which it finds out if there are parts which are normally horizontal, or are horizontal at the current time.

The definition for on \((X,Y)\) given above specifies all three relations: contact, support and above. Contact is specified in terms of a geometric relation between a source and a destination constraint space. Support is specified indirectly by requiring that the source-constraint-space is a self-supporting-space of \( X \) and that the destination-constraint-space is a supporter-surface-of of \( Y \). Above is specified in terms of restrictions we impose on the direction of the normal to the destination-constraint-space and by requiring that the destination-constraint-space is horizontal.

4.2 A Representation for in

The handbook defines this meaning as within the bounds of, contained in or included within [Funk 53]. According to Herskovits this use type for in is spatial entity in a container. We specify this meaning of in as

\[ (X,Y) \rightarrow \]

in (X,Y)
geometric-relation:
  spatial-type: positional
  source-constraint-space: volume-of (X)
  destination-constraint-space: interior-of (Y)
  selectional-restrictions:
    or (container-p (Y),
      container-p (any-of (sub-parts-of (Y))))
    size-of (X) \leq size-of (Y)
    normally-oriented (Y)

A container is an object which can hold one or more objects such that the object is "surrounded by" the volume defined by the boundaries of the container. It is a concept which is difficult to define clearly, although heuristics can be devised to recognize whether an object is a container. For our purposes, if an object or any of its part(s) can work as container(s), we will label it (them) as such in the function slot in its representation. The second condition is due to Cooper [Cooper 88]. The third condition is due to Herskovits who explains its necessity by stating that the sentence *The bread is in the bowl* is pragmatically unacceptable if the bowl is upside down and covers the bread under it [Herskovits 86].

5 Projective Prepositions

The prepositions considered in this section are called projective because they define directions about an object, and specify the location of another object in relation to these directions [Herskovits 86].

First, we define a global coordinate system, assumed to be placed in front of the work area.

  +ve Z  Aligned against gravity; also called the global-up direction.
  -ve Z  Same as the direction of gravity; also called global-down axis.
  +ve X  Also called global-right.
  -ve X  It is the global-left direction.
  +ve Y  It is the global-front direction.
  -ve Y  This is the global-back direction.

These six axes are called half axes [Herskovits 86]. A full axis is a two-directional line constituted from a pair of companion half axes. We define a half axial plane as a plane which passes through one half axis and a full axis. Two companion half axial planes constitute a full axial plane. For instance, left-right full axial plane is a true planar surface extending to infinity in all directions and passing through the left-right and up-down full axes.

We also establish a reference system rooted at the center of volume of the object under consideration. Assuming we have one animate actor and the objects manipulated are inanimate, it is sufficient to consider the canonical encounter situation for this reference systems.

Here, it is assumed that the observer is situated at some point directly in front of the object.

Douglas et al characterize 2-D specialized regions defined by the area occupied by an object for defining projective prepositions [Douglas 87]. They define pairs such as front and back in terms of two-dimensional regions obtained by projecting the area occupied by the objects. We treat these projective prepositions in terms of three dimensional volumes. For example, to define behind an object, we construct a volume called the back zone of the object by doing the following:

1. Draw two tangent planes to the object parallel to the front-back full axial plane.
2. Draw two tangent planes to the landmark object parallel to the left-right full axial plane.
3. The contiguous volume bounded by these four planes and the volume of the object forms the back zone of the object. This is also shown in figure 1.

5.1 Behind

Having understood the concept of back zone, we define the concept of behind. The definition requires that we make the back-front axial planes of Y and X coincident, and that the centroid of the first object be contained within the back-zone of Y. The lexical entry is

behind (X,Y) \leftarrow
  \{ g-union
    \{ geometric-relation:
      spatial-type: positional
      source-constraint-space: back-half-axial-plane (X)
      destination-constraint-space:
        back-half-axial-plane (Y)) \}
  \} geometric-relation:
  spatial-type: positional
  source-constraint-space: centroid (X)
  destination-constraint-space:back-zone (Y) \} \}
In other words, object \( Y \) is properly behind object \( X \) if 1) behind half axial planes of \( X \) and \( Y \) coincide, 2) the centroid of \( X \) is in the back-zone of \( Y \). Problems due to relative sizes of the objects is taken care of by the underlying constraint satisfaction techniques and the use of weight slot in the template for constraint representation. Meanings of a few other projective prepositions such as in front of and left of can be defined in a similar manner.

6 Three-object prepositions

6.1 Between

In order to define between, we define a volume called between-zone \((X, A, B)\). \( X \) is the object to be placed between \( A \) and \( B \). We draw a plane \( P \) passing between the centroids of \( A \) and \( B \), and the “global-up” axis. The two points and the line define the unique plane \( P \). Next, we define the projection-zones of the two objects:

- Projection-zone(A) is in the direction from \( A \) to \( B \).
- Projection-zone(B) is in the direction from \( B \) to \( A \).

The intersection of these two projection zones obtains the between-zone \((X, A, B)\).

\[ \text{between}(X,A,B) \leftarrow \]

geometric-relation:

- spatial-type: positional
- source-constraint-space: volume-of \((X)\)
- destination-constraint-space: between-zone \((A,B)\).

7 Path prepositions

Specification of path needs, at least, the following components: source, destination, and path geometry. All components can be seen in the following example (slightly contrived): Move the block from one end of the table to the other along an edge.

We represent a path in terms of a structure called path-specification with the slots:

- path-specification:
  - source:
  - destination:
  - path-geometry:

This structure is used for describing meanings of prepositions which specify paths which may be partial.

7.1 Across

Across has two types of meanings—dynamic and static (locative) meaning. The dynamic meaning implies a journey across an object, whereas the static meaning implies a location between two lines (edges) perpendicular to them and touching, and (possibly) extending beyond them. The dynamic sense of across is seen in:

- Roll/Slide/Move the block/ball across the board.

The dynamic sense of across specifies all three components required for path specification.

\[ \text{across}(X, Y) \leftarrow \]

path-description:

- source: any-of (exterior-edges-of \((Y)\), parallel-to (longitudinal-axis \((Y)\)))
- destination: any-of (exerior-edges-of \((Y)\), parallel-to (longitudinal-axis \((Y)\)))

path-geometry: straight-line

selectional-restrictions:

- destination \( \neq \) source
- has-axis \((X)\), longitudinal
- angle-between
  - (path-geometry, longitudinal-axis \((Y)\), 90°)
  - length \((Y) \geq \) width \((Y)\)
  - length \((Y) > \)
    - (dimension-of \((X)\), along-direction (longitudinal-axis \((Y)\)))

The longitudinal axis of an object is the axis along which the length of an object is measured. There are a number of selectional restrictions imposed on the objects \( X \) and \( Y \) also. For example, the reason for the fourth selectional restriction can be gauged from the two phrases: across the road and along the road.

8 Processing a sentence

Let us take the sentence Put the block on the table. The lexical entry for put specifies the achievement of a geometric relationship between an object and a location specified by a PP. The meaning of the verb is specified in terms of a yet-unspecified geometric relation between two objects. The preposition on along with the objects involved leads to the sense that deals with support.

A bottom-up parser returns the logical meaning representation as (put you block-1 (on block-1 table-1)). In this representation, the verb put takes three arguments: a subject, an object and the representation for a locative expression. block-1 and table-1 are objects in the world determined to be the referents of the noun phrases. The logical representation has you as the value of the subject since the sentence is imperative.
Figure 2: Animating *Put the block on the table*

Now, to obtain the intermediate meaning representation, the arguments of *put* in the logical representation are matched with the arguments in the lexical entry for *put* given below. The representation for a verb has a slot called *kernel-actions* which stores its essential meaning. This slot can be filled by a specification of a geometric constraint, or kinematic or motional specification (for motion-primary verbs such as *move, roll*) and dynamic specification (for force-primary verbs such as *push, pull, hit*). Our representation for *put* is

\[ \text{put (l-agent, l-object, l-locative)} \]
\[ \text{agent: l-agent} \]
\[ \text{object: l-object} \]
\[ \text{kernel-actions:} \]
\[ \text{geometric-constraint: achieve} \]
\[ \text{geometric-relation: l-locative} \]

This lexical entry has three arguments. After matching, *l-agent* has the value *you*, *l-object* has the value *block-1*, and *l-locative* has the value (*on block-1 table-1*). The value of the *geometric-relation* slot (of the *kernel-actions* slot in the representation) is filled in by the semantic representation for the *l-locative* argument which is created recursively. Thus, the intermediate meaning representation is

agent: you
object: block-1
kernel-action:
  geometric-constraint:
    execution-type: achieve
  geometric-relation:
    spatial-type: positional
    source-constraint-space: any-of
    (self-supporting-spaces-of (block-1))
    destination-constraint-space: any-of
    (supporter-spaces-of (table-1))
  selectional-restrictions:
    horizontal-p (destination-constraint-space)
    equal (direction-of (normal-to
destination-constraint-space), "global-up")
    free-p (destination-constraint-space)

In order to execute the action dictated by this sentence, the program looks at the knowledge stored about the block to find a part of the block on which it can support itself. It observes that it can be supported on any one of its faces and no face is more salient than any other. A cube (the shape of the block) has six faces and one is chosen randomly as the support area. Next, the program consults the knowledge stored about the table and searches for a part or feature of the desk which can
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be used to support other objects. It gathers that its function is to support "small" objects on topside. This top surface is also horizontal. As a result, finally, the system concludes that one of the sides of the cube has to be brought in contact with the top of the table.

The final meaning for the sentence obtained is

agent: you
object: block-1

kernel-actions:
geometric-constraint:
extension-type: achieve
gemetric-relation:
spatial-type: positional
source-constraint-space: block-1\text{-side-2}
destination-constraint-space: table-1\text{-top}

block-1\text{-side-2} represents a specific face of a specific block. table-1\text{-top} represents the top surface of a specific table. This final representation is then sent to a planner [Jung 91] which produces a plan for performing the task by an animated agent in a given workspace. The plan is taken up by a simulator [Esakov 90] which establishes connection with a graphical animation package [Phillips 88] and produces an animation of the task performance. We show a few snapshots below. The block is initially sitting on top of a closed box. The agent reaches for it with his right hand, grasps it, moves it to near the top of a table to his left, places it on the table, and moves his hand back.

9 Conclusions

In this paper, we discussed the representation of meanings of some locative and path specifying prepositions. We emphasize the importance of geometric information such as axes of objects, location of objects, distance or angle between objects, path of object motion, physical contact between objects, etc., in the meaning representation of prepositions. Elsewhere, we demonstrate that such geometric considerations are important for not only representation for prepositions, but also verbs and adverbs [Kalita 90a]. Our representations are geared toward obtaining an implementable semantics of natural language words used to describe actions. We demonstrate the sufficiency and usefulness of our representation by establishing connection with a graphical animation package and by driving task performance.
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