## Code Shape

## More on Three-address Code Generation

## Machine Code Translation

- A single language construct can have many implementations
- many-to-many mappings from high-level source language to low-level target machine language
- Different implementations have different efficiency
$\square$ Speed, memory space, register, power consumption

Source code
Low-level three-address code

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+y+z \\
& x y z
\end{aligned}
$$



## Generating Three-Address Code

- No more support for structured control-flow
- Function calls=>explicit memory management and goto jumps

ㅁ Every three-address instr=>several machine instructions

- The original evaluation order is maintained
- Memory management
- Every variable must have a location to store its value
- Register, stack, heap, static storage
- Memory allocation convention
- Scalar/atomic values and addresses => registers, runtime stack
- Arrays => heap
- Global/static variables => static storage

```
void fee() {
    int a, *b, c;
    a = 0; b = &a; *b = 1;
    c = a + * b;
}
```


## From Expressions To 3-Address

- For every non-terminal expression E
- E.place: temporary variable used to store result
- Synthesized attributes for E
- Bottom up traversal ensures E.place assigned before used
- Symbol table has value types and storage for variables
- What about the value types of expressions?

```
E ::= id '=' E1 { E.place=E1.place; gen_var_store(id.entry, E1.place); }
E ::= E1 `+' E2 {E.place=new_tmp();
    gen_code(ADD,E1.place,E2.place,E.place);}
E ::= (E1) { E.place = E1.place; }
E ::= id { E.place=gen_varLoad(id.entry); }
E ::= num { E.place=new_tmp(); gen_code(LOADI, num.val, 0, E.place; }
```

Example input: $a=b^{*} c+b+2$
Should we reuse register for variable b?

## Storing And Accessing Arrays

- Single-dimensional array
- Accessing ith element: base + (i-low) * w

Low: lower bound of dimension; w : element size

- Multi-dimensional arrays
- need to locate base addr of each dimension
- Row-major, column-major, Indirection vector
- Extend translation scheme to support array access

Row-major

| $(1,1)$ $(1,2)$ $(1,3)$ $(2,1)$ $(2,2)$ $(2,3)$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=$ value at $\left(\mathrm{A}+(\mathrm{i}-\operatorname{low} 1)^{*}\right.$ len $\left.2^{*} \mathrm{w}+(\mathrm{j}-\operatorname{low} 2)^{*} \mathrm{w}\right)$ |

Column-major

Indirection vector


## Character Strings

- Languages provide different support for strings
- C/C++/Java: through library routines
- PLI/Lisp/ML/Perl/python: through language implementation
- Important string operations
- Assignment, concatenation
- Representing strings
- Null-terminated vs. explicit length field
- Treat strings as arrays of bytes
- More complex if hardware does not support operating on bytes
- Translate collective string operations to array operations before threeaddress translation

| a | s | t | r | i | n | g |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Null-termination


Explicit length field

| String assignment |
| :--- | :--- |
| $a[1]=b[2]$ | | loadI @b => r1 |
| :--- |
| cloadAI $r 1,2=>~ r 2$ |
| loadI @a $=>~ r 3$ |
| cstoreAI $r 2=>~ r 3,1$ |

## Translating Procedural calls



- Function/procedural calls need to be translated into calling sequences
- Side-effect of procedural calls
- Determined by linkage convention
- If function call has side effects, Orig. evaluation order need be preserved
- Saving and restoring registers
- Expensive for large register sets
- Use special routines or operations to speed it up
- Combine responsibility of caller and callee
- Optimizing small procedures that don't call others
- Reduce precall and prologue
- Reduce number of registers need to be saved


## Passing Arrays As Parameters

- Arrays are pointers to data areas

■ Mostly treated as addresses (pointers)

- Must know dimension \& size to support element access
- Must have type info when passed as parameters
- Handled either by compilers or programmers
- Compiler support for dynamic arrays
- Arrays passed as parameters or dynamically allocated
- Must save type information at runtime to be type safe
- Dope vector: runtime descriptor of arrays
- Saves starting address, number of dimensions, lower/upper bound and size of each dimension
- Build a dope vector for each array
- Can support runtime checking of each element access
$\square$ Before accessing the element, is it a valid access?


## Translating Boolean Expressions

- Two approaches
- Same as translating regular expressions: true $\rightarrow 1 / n o n-z e r o$; false $\rightarrow 0$
- Translate into control-flow branches

For every boolean expression E
E.true/E.false: the labels to goo if $E$ is true/false

Numerical translation:

$$
\mathrm{c}:=(\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}) \sum \text { Cmp_LT ra, rb }=>\mathrm{rc}
$$

Position-based translation:
$\mathrm{c}:=\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}$


$\sum$ else goto Eff
Et: c := true goto next
Eff: c := false next:
emp ra, rb => cc
cbr_LT cc =>L1, L2
L1: load true $=>$ rc
jump => L3
L2: load false=> rc LS:

## Short-Circuit Evaluation

- Evaluate only expressions required to determine the final result
- $\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b} \& \& \mathrm{c}<\mathrm{d}$
if $a>=b$, there is no need to evaluate whether $c<d$
- For every boolean expression E
- E.true/E.false: the labels to goto if $E$ is true/false



## Translating control-flow statements


$S::=$ if E THEN S1 else S2

| $\sum$ | E.true: | E.code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | S1.code |
|  |  | goto S.next |
|  | E.false: | S2.code |
| S.begin: E.code |  | ...... |
|  |  |  |
| E.false: | S1.code |  |
|  | goto S.begin |  |
|  | ...... |  |

## Example

## Translating control-flow statements

$$
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { if }(a<b \& \& c<d) \\
x=a ; \\
\text { else } \\
\quad x=d ;
\end{array}
$$

cmp ra, rb => cc1
cbr_LT cc1 $=>$ L1,Ef
L1: cmp rc, rd $=>$ cc2
$\quad$ cbr_LT cc2 => Et,Ef
Et: move ra => rx
$\quad$ jumpI next
Ef: move rx => rd
Next:
void fee(int $x$, int $y)\{$
int $\mathrm{I}=0$;
int $z=x$;
while $(1<100)$ \{
I = I + 1;
if $(y<x) z=y$;
$A[I]=I$;
\}
\}

## More On Control-flow Translation

- If-then-else conditional
- Use predicated execution vs. conditional branches
- Different forms of loops
- While, for, until, etc.
- Optimizations on loop body, branch prediction
- Case statement
- Evaluate controlling expression
- Branch to the selected case
$\square$ Linear search : a sequence of if-then-else
- Binary search or direct jump table
" Build an ordered table that maps case values to branch labels
- Execute code of branched case
- Break to the end of switch statement


## Appendix

## Translating control-flow statements

- For every statement S, add two additional attributes
- S.begin: the label of S
- S.next: the label of statement following S

```
S ::= {if (S.begin != 0) gen_label(S.begin); } E ';'
    {S.next=merge(E.true,E.false); }
S ::= WHILE { if (S.begin==0) S.begin=new_label();
            gen_label(S.begin); }
    '(` E `)' { S1.begin=E.true; } S1
    { S.next=E.false; merge_label(S1.next,S.begin);
        gen_code(jumpI,0,0,S.begin); }
S ::= LBRACE {stmts.begin = S.begin; } stmts RBRACE
    { S.next=stmts.next; }
stmts ::= {S.begin=stmts.begin;} S { stmts.next = S.next; }
stmts ::= {S.begin=stmts.begin; } S
    {stmts1.begin = S.next; } stmts1
    {stmts.next = stmts1.next; }
```


## Appendix: Translating Boolean Expressions

- Every boolean expression E has two attributes
- E.true/false: the label to goto if $E$ is true/false
- Evaluate E.true and E.false as synthesized attribute
- Create a new label for every unknown jump destination
- Set destination of created jump labels later
- Usually evaluated by traversing the AST instead of during parsing
- Issue: creation/merging/insertion of instruction labels

```
\(\mathrm{E}::=\) true \(\{\) E.true \(=\) new_label(); E.false=0;
    gen_code(jumpI,0,0,E.true); \}
E::= false \{ E.false = new_label(); E.true=0;
    gen_code(jumpI,0,0,E.false); \}
\(\mathrm{E}::=\mathrm{E} 1\) relop E 2 \{ E. true= new_label(); E.false=new_label();
    r=new_tmp(); gen_code(cmp,E1.place,E2.place,r);
    gen_code(relop.cbr, r, E.true, E.false);\}
```


## Appendix: Hardware Support For Relational Operations

- Straight conditional code Translating a:=x<y
- Special condition-code registers interpreted only by conditional branches
- Conditional move
- Add a special conditional move instruction
- Boolean valued comparisons
- Store boolean values directly in registers
- Predicated evaluation
- Conditionally executing instructions

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Comp rx, ry => cc1 } \\ & \text { Cbr_LT cc1 -> L1, L2 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Comp rx, ry => cc1 |
| L1: loadI true => ra | i2i_LT cc1,true,false |
| L2: loadI false => ra | =>ra |
| ... | Conditional move |

Straight conditional code

```
cmp_LT rx, ry => ra
Cbr ra -> L1, L2
L1: ..
L2: ...
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Cmp_LT rx, ry => r1 \\
Not r1 => r2 \\
(r1)? \(\ldots\) \\
\((r 2) ? \ldots\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Predicated eval. }
\end{tabular}
Bool valued comparison Predicated eval.
```

